# In the Indiana Supreme Court



CAUSE NUMBER: 94S00-1101-MS-17

## ORDER AMENDING INDIANA RULES OF EVIDENCE

Under the authority vested in this Court to provide by rule for the procedure employed in all courts of this state and this Court's inherent authority to supervise the administration of all courts of this state, Indiana Evidence Rules 501, 502 and 803 are amended to read as follows (deletions shown by striking and new text shown by underlining):

## Rule 501. Privileges

- (a) General Rule. Except as provided by constitution or statute as enacted or interpreted by the courts of this State or by these or other rules promulgated by the Indiana Supreme Court or by principles of common law in light of reason and experience, no person has a privilege to:
  - (1) refuse to be a witness;
  - (2) refuse to disclose any matter;
  - (3) refuse to produce any object or writing; or
  - (4) prevent another from being a witness or disclosing any matter or producing any object or writing.
- (b) Waiver of Privilege by Voluntary Disclosure. Subject to the provisions of Rule 502, A a person with a privilege against disclosure waives the privilege if the person or person's predecessor while holder of the privilege voluntarily and intentionally discloses or consents to disclosure of any significant part of the privileged matter. This rule does not apply if the disclosure itself is privileged.
- (c) Privileged Matter Disclosed Under Compulsion or Without Opportunity to Claim

**Privilege.** A claim of privilege is not defeated by a disclosure which was (1) compelled erroneously or (2) made without opportunity to claim the privilege.

- (d) Comment Upon or Inference From Claim of Privilege; Instruction. Except with respect to a claim of the privilege against self-incrimination in a civil case:
  - (1) Comment or inference not permitted. The claim of a privilege, whether in the present proceeding, or upon a prior occasion, is not a proper subject of comment by judge or counsel. No inference may be drawn therefrom.
  - (2) Claiming privilege without knowledge of jury. In jury cases, proceedings shall be conducted, to the extent practicable, so as to facilitate the making of claims of privilege without the knowledge of the jury.
  - (3) *Jury instruction*. Upon request, any party against whom the jury might draw an adverse inference from a claim of privilege is entitled to an instruction that no inference may be drawn therefrom.

## Rule 502. Attorney-Client Privilege and Work Product; Limitations on Waiver

The following provisions apply, in the circumstances set out, to disclosure of a communication or information covered by the attorney-client privilege or work-product protection.

- (a) Intentional disclosure; scope of a waiver. When a disclosure is made in a court proceeding and waives the attorney-client privilege or work-product protection, the waiver extends to an undisclosed communication or information only if:
  - (1) the waiver is intentional;
  - (2) the disclosed and undisclosed communications or information concern the same subject matter; and,
  - (3) they ought in fairness to be considered together.

**(b) Inadvertent disclosure.** When made in a court proceeding, a disclosure does not operate as a waiver if:

(1) the disclosure is inadvertent;

(2) the holder of the privilege or protection took reasonable steps to prevent disclosure; and,

(3) the holder promptly took reasonable steps to rectify the error, including (if applicable) following Indiana Rule of Trial Procedure 26(B)(5)(b).

(c) Controlling effect of a party agreement. An agreement on the effect of disclosure in a proceeding is binding only on the parties to the agreement, unless it is incorporated into a court order.

(d) Controlling effect of a court order. If a court incorporates into a court order an agreement between or among parties on the effect of disclosure in a proceeding, a disclosure that, pursuant to the order, does not constitute a waiver in connection with the proceeding in which the order is entered is also not a waiver in any other court proceeding.

. . .

#### Rule 803. Hearsay Exceptions: Availability of Declarant Immaterial

The following are not excluded by the hearsay rule, even though the declarant is available as a witness.

. . .

(4) Statements for Purposes of Medical Diagnosis or Treatment. Statements made by persons who are seeking for purposes of medical diagnosis or treatment and describing medical history, or past or present symptoms, pain, or sensations, or the inception or general character of the cause or external source thereof insofar as reasonably pertinent to diagnosis or treatment.

These amendments shall take effect January 1, 2012.

The Clerk of this Court is directed to forward a copy of this Order to the clerk of each

circuit court in the state of Indiana; Attorney General of Indiana; Legislative Services Agency

and its Office of Code Revision; Administrator, Indiana Supreme Court; Administrator, Indiana

Court of Appeals; Administrator, Indiana Tax Court; Public Defender of Indiana; Prosecuting

Attorney's Council; Public Defender's Council; Indiana Supreme Court Disciplinary

Commission; Indiana Supreme Court Commission for Continuing Legal Education; Indiana

Board of Law Examiners; Indiana Judicial Center; Division of State Court Administration;

Indiana Judges and Lawyers Assistance Program; the libraries of all law schools in this state; the

Michie Company; and Thomson Reuters. The Clerk is also directed to post this Order to the

Court's website.

Thomson Reuters is directed to publish this Order in the advance sheets of this Court.

The Clerks of the Circuit Courts are directed to bring this Order to the attention of all

judges within their respective counties and to post this Order for examination by the Bar and

general public.

DONE at Indianapolis, Indiana, this 20<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2011.

/s/Randall T. Shepard Randall T. Shepard

Chief Justice of Indiana

All Justices concur.

4